## 

1 2	ROBERT LAWRENCE (SBN 207099) JOHN ERICKSON (SBN 52356) COLLETTE ERICKSON FARMER & O'NEILL LLP			
3	235 Pine Street, Suite 1300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 788-4646			
4	Facsimile: (415) 788-6929			
5	Attorneys for Defendants OPTICAL COATING LABORATORY, INC.			
6	of fical coaffind Labolation, five.			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA WATCH, a non-profit Corporation,	Case No. 3:09-cv-02601-MMC		
11		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR		
12	Plaintiffs, vs.	DEFENDANT OPTICAL COATING LABORATORY, INC. TO ANSWER		
13	WEST COAST METALS, INC., RICHARD	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		
14	L. BRADLEY, WEST COAST SCRAP PROCEDURES, INC., OPTICAL COATING	AND ORDER THEREON		
15	LABORATORY, INC., DOES 1 through 10,			
16	inclusive,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
KSON				

COLLETTE ERICKSON FARMER & O'NEILL up ATTORNEYS AT LAW 235 PINE ST., SUITE 1300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 (415) 788-4646

1	Plaintiff Northern California Watch ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Optical Coating		
2	Laboratory, Inc. ("Defendant" or "OCLI") hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the Court		
3	extend the time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint to and including		
4	October 1, 2009. In support of this Stipulation, the parties state as follows:		
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiff originally filed this action on June 11, 2009;		
6	WHEREAS, Optical Coating Laboratory, Inc. was served with the Summons and		
7	Complaint by Waiver in this action in July 8, 2009 and returned the same on August 8, 2009;		
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant are working on a consent order and have not come to		
9	a final agreement of said terms;		
10	WHEREAS, OCLI's counsel has been involved in a jury trial since the end of April and		
11	are currently still in trial;		
12	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant seek an extension of time for OCLI to answer or		
13	otherwise respond to the Complaint so that they may continue to focus their efforts on the consen		
14	order unnecessarily utilizing judicial resources or incurring additional fees and costs related to the		
15	filing of a responsive pleading;		
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff and		
17	Defendant, by and through their respective undersigned attorneys, that Defendant OCLI shall have		
18	an up to and including October 1, 2009, to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.		
19	DATED: September 4, 2009 COLLETTE ERICKSON FARMER & O'NEILL LLP		
20	D. 17 (1		
21	By:		
22	Attorneys for Defendant		
23	OPTICAL COATING LABORATORY, INC.		
24	DATED: September 4, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF JACK SILVER		
25			
26	By: JACK SILVED		
27	ALL CONTROLLER		
28	Attorneys for Plaintiff NORHTERN CALIFORNIA WATCH		
1			

## 

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2	Dated:	September 9, 2009	Mafine M. Chelmy
3	The state of the s	•	Hong able MAXINE M. CHESNEY Judge of the District Court, Northern District of Slifornia
4			
5			
6 7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			
<b>48</b>	11		